

## STATE PROCUREMENT OFFICE NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM HRS CHAPTER 103D

STATE PROCUREMENT OF FIFE STATE OF HAWAII

11/1	Charles of the Charle	能	A
(s. [1]	ā <u> </u>		
18/1			1
A 4.	6-0-HW-1	PRODUCTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	
	- Contract		

TO:

**Chief Procurement Officer** 

FROM:

Garry Kemp - Child Support Enforcement Agency, OAG

Name of Requesting Department

Pursuant to HRS	8 103D-102(h)(A)	and HAR chapter 3	2-120 tha Dan	artment requests	a nroauroment ou	muntian fantha	. C. 11
I wisduite to lind	3 1030-102(0)(4)	unu man chapter s	-120, ale Dep	ui uneni reguesis	u procurement exe	mpuon for the	: rouowina:

Describe the goods, services or construction:
he agency procured RedMane Technology 's services through the Request for Proposal (RFP) process for the employer data cleanup and remediation
project. The original contract ended on August 31, 2010 with a two-year maintenance period from September 1, 2010 to August 31, 2012. Because the
mployer data within the child support enforcement system is linked to so many other programs such as financial records, enforcement assessment,
nd orders. During the maintenace period RedMane worked on fixing related system issues. The latest system modification was in the orders area.
lowever, the true test of such remediation will come in July and November of 2012 when the continuing education notices are scheduled to be mailed
ut to the custodial parents. The continuing education verification is required to ensure that children who reach the age of 18 are attending college so
he non-custodial parent's obligation continues. In addition, there are other programs that RedMane are yet to work on. By the end of March 2012, the
naintenance dollars will run out. Therefore, the agency would like to request an exemption from HRS Chapter 103D so we can add more funds and
xtend the maintenance period for another twelve months.

2. Vendor/Contractor/Ser	rice Provider:		RedMane Ted	chnology LLC	3. Amount of Request: \$ 383,760.00
4. Term of Contract From	1-Apr-12- 5/2/2012	То:	31-Mar-13 5/1/2013	5. Prior SPO-007, Pro	ocurement Exemption (PE): None
		M 5/6	2/2012		

6. Explain in detail, why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the department to procure by competitive means: Since the vendor has gained tremendous knowledge of the agency's child support enforcement system and is familiar with the program problems at hand, it would be more economic for the agency to extend the maintenance contract with them.

7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider:

As stated in Section 1, the vendor's services were procured through the RFP process.

8. Identify the primary individual(s) who is knowledgeable about this request, who will conduct and manage this process, and has 1) completed mandatory training; and 2) who may contact for follow up inquiry, if any. (Type over "example" and delete cells not used.) Name of Department Personnel Division/Agency **Phone Number** e-mail address Sheri Wang OAG/CSEA sheri.wang@hawaii.gov 692-7131 All requirements/approvals and internal controls for this expenditure is the responsibility of the department, I certify that the information provided above is, to the best of my knowledge, true and correct. For Chief Procurement Officer Use Only Date Notice Posted: 52/2062 Submit written objection to this notice to issue an exempt contract within seven calendar days or as othewise allowed from date notice posted to: state.procurement.office@hawaii.gov Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) Comments: Request has been withdrawn by the department. No Action Required ☐ Approved ☐ Disapproved